

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00249 01 OF 02 230700Z

18

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AEC-11 CIAE-00 EUR-25 H-03 INR-11 IO-14

L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-04 OMB-01 PA-04 PM-07 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 TRSE-00 RSC-01 NSC-07

DRC-01 /153 W

----- 109337

P R 230600Z SEP 74

FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 421

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 MBFR VIENNA 0249

MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: NATO GUIDANCE TO AD HOC GROUP - RELEASE TO
EAST OF REVISED NATO AND PACT GROUND FORCE TOTALS

1. AS WASHINGTON AGENCIES KNOW, IN THE AUTUMN SESSION OF THE
MBFR TALKS, THE AD HOC GROUP PLANS TO FOLLOW THE TACTIC OF RESUMING
DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUE OF WHOSE FORCES SHOULD BE REDUCED FROM
THE OUTSET IN ORDER TO REACH SOME AT LEAST TENTATIVE UNDERSTANDING
ON THIS SUBJECT. IF THE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL
IN THIS OBJECTIVE, THEY PLAN TO LEAVE THE ISSUE OF WHOSE FORCES
SHOULD BE REDUCED FROM THE OUTSET TEMPORARILY ASIDE AND TO MOVE
TO TRY TO SEEK AGREEMENT ON A REVISED DEFINITION OF GROUND FORCES,
COMBINED WITH A RENEWED EFFORT TO DRAW THE EAST INTO AN

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00249 01 OF 02 230700Z

EXCHANGE OF DATA.

2. IN THIS CONNECTION, IN ITS JULY 23 REPORT TO THE NAC
(PARA 10 OF MBFR VIENNA 0199), THE AD HOC GROUP REQUESTED
AUTHORIZATION TO REVEAL TO THE EAST THE NEW ALLIANCE-AGREED
FIGURES FOR OVERALL NATO AND WARSAW PACT GROUND FORCE MANPOWER.
THE AD HOC GROUP REQUESTED THIS AUTHORIZATION BY OCTOBER 1.

3. THE ALLIES IN VIENNA WILL PROBABLY HAVE TWO INFORMAL SESSIONS
WITH THE EAST ON THE ISSUE OF WHOSE FORCES SHOULD BE REDUCED
FROM THE OUTSET BEFORE MOVING ON THE ISSUE OF DEFINITION OF
GROUND FORCES. WHEN THE LATER SUBJECT IS RAISED, IT WOULD
BE MISLEADING AND SHORT-SIGHTED TO LEAVE THE EAST WITH AN
IMPRESSION, BASED ON EARLIER NOW INACCURATE TOTALS FURNISHED
THE EAST BY THE ALLIES, THAT THE ALLIES ARE SUGGESTING
A WAY OF REDUCING THE GAP BETWEEN THE OVERALL TOTAL OF
GROUND FORCES OF BOTH SIDES WHICH IS CONSIDERABLY LARGER
THAN IT WOULD BE IN ACTUALITY IF THE REVISED TOTALS FOR
NATO AND WARSAW PACT GROUND FORCES ARE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.
HENCE, IT WOULD BE LOGICAL TO INFORM THE EAST OF THE RE-
VISED TOTALS IN PASSING AS A PRELUDE TO DISCUSSION OF
ALLIED PROPOSALS ON REVISED DEFINITION OF GROUND FORCES.
IF THIS COURSE IS FOLLOWED, THE ALLIES IN VIENNA WILL
IN PRACTICE NEED GUIDANCE ON THIS SUBJECT BY OCTOBER 7
AT THE LATEST.

4. USNATO REPORTS INDICATE THAT TWO PROBLEMS HAVE
ARisen IN THE SPC IN CONNECTION WITH NATO TREATMENT
OF THE AD HOC GROUP REQUEST TO RELEASE THE NEW TOTALS
TO THE EAST: (A) THE DESIRE OF SOME ALLIES (NETHERLANDS,
FRG AND BELGIUM, AS INDICATED IN PARA 3 OF USNATO 4484),
THAT THE PENDING MC-224 CONFERENCE ENDORSE THE REVISED
PACT TOTALS PRIOR TO THEIR RELEASE TO THE AD HOC GROUP --
A DESIRE WHICH CREATES A PROBLEM OF TIMING -- AND (B)
RESISTANCE BY SOME ALLIES TO GIVING ANY FURTHER DATA
TO THE EAST EXCEPT ON A RECIPROCAL BASIS. BOTH ISSUES
COULD IMPEDE OR DELAY A POSITIVE NATO DECISION ON THE
AD HOC GROUP REQUEST.

5. AS REGARDS THE FIRST ISSUE, AN ORAL BRIEFING TO THE
AD HOC GROUP ON SEPTEMBER 20 BY COHEN OF THE INTERNATIONAL
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00249 01 OF 02 230700Z

SECRETARIAT BASED ON INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM NATO ASYG
KASTL INDICATES THAT ONLY ONE MEMBER COUNTRY (UNSPECIFIED)
IS CURRENTLY OBJECTING TO THE RELEASE TO THE AD HOC
GROUP OF VARIOUS TYPES OF DATA REQUESTED BY THE AD HOC
GROUP IN ITS JULY 23 REPORT. IF THIS IS TRUE, THE
SIMPLEST PROCEDURE MIGHT BE FOR WASHINGTON TO AUTHORIZE
USNATO TO SEEK TO PERSUADE THE OBJECTING DELEGATION
TO DROP ITS OBJECTIONS. IF THIS CAN BE DONE, THE DATA

QUESTION WOULD BE REDUCED TO CONSIDERATION IN THE SPC OF THE POLITICAL ISSUE OF THE ADVISABILITY AND CONDITIONS FOR TRANSMITTING VARIOUS TYPES OF DATA TO THE EAST. IF MORE THAN ONE ALLY WISHES TO HAVE THE DATA REVIEWED BY MC-224, IT MAY NOW BE TOO LATE FOR THE US TO EFFECTIVELY CONTEST THE DESIRE OF SOME WFLIES FOR ENDORSEMENT OF CURRENT NATO ESTIMATES OF PACT GROUND FORCE STRENGTH BY THE MC-224 CONFERENCE OR TO ACCELERATE THE HOLDING OF THAT CONFERENCE, AS THE DELEGATION REQUESTED SOME MONTHS AGO. WE ARE ASSUMING THAT THE MC-224 CONFERENCE WILL IN FACT ENDORSE THE ESTIMATES OF PACT STRENGTH WHICH WERE AGREED BY THE US, UK AND FRG IN MID-JUNE AND ENDORSED BY THE SPC FOR MBFR PURPOSES.

6. HOWEVER, A TIMING PROBLEM ARISES FROM THE FACT THAT THE MC-224 CONFERENCE ITSELF IS SCHEDULED TO BE HELD BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 30 AND OCTOBER 5 AND THAT NORMAL PROCEDURES APPARENTLY ENVISAGE GIVING PARTICIPATING GOVERNMENTS A PERIOD OF ONE MONTH TO ENDORSE OR CONTEST THE FINDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE. IN PRACTICAL TERMS, THIS MEANS THAT ALTHOUGH THE AD HOC GROUP NEEDS A POSITIVE DECISION BY OCTOBER 7, NATO MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ACT IN THE FORMAL SENSE UNTIL THE SECOND WEEK OF NOVEMBER OR LATER.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00249 02 OF 02 230734Z

17

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AEC-11 CIAE-00 EUR-25 H-03 INR-11 IO-14

L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-04 OMB-01 PA-04 PM-07 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 TRSE-00 RSC-01 NSC-07

DRC-01 /153 W

----- 109527

P R 230600Z SEP 74

FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 422

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 MBFR VIENNA 0249

MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FROM US REP MBFR

7. ACTION REQUESTED: IN ADDITION TO THE ACTION REQUESTED IN PARA 5 ABOVE AND IF APPROVAL BY THE MC-224 CONFERENCE IS NECESSARY, WE REQUEST THE HELP OF WASHINGTON AGENCIES TO OBTAIN FOR NEGOTIATING PURPOSES THE RELEASE OF OVERALL PACT GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AND OVERALL SOVIET GROUND FORCE MANPOWER IN THE NGA TOTALS APPROVED BY THE ACUTAL MC-224 CONFERENCE. THIS SHOULD BE DONE WITHOUT WAITING FOR THE NORMAL REVIEW PERIOD TO ELAPSE. NEEDED AT THE SAME TIME WILL BE THE LATEST UPDATE OF NATO GROUND STRENGTH. IN ADVANCING THE FIGURES TO THE EAST, ALLIED NEGOTIATORS IN VIENNA WOULD STATE THAT ALLIED DATA IS UNDER CONTINUED REVIEW AND THAT THIS APPLIES TO THE NEW FIGURES, SO THAT WE WILL NOT BE TIED TO THESE SPECIFIC FIGURES FOR THE FUTURE.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00249 02 OF 02 230734Z

8. THE SECOND ISSUE WHICH MAY IMPEDE A POSITIVE NATO DECISION TO RELEASE THE NEW TOTALS IS THE RELUCTANCE OF SOME ALLIES TO AGREE THAT ANY FURTHER DATA BE GIVEN THE EAST AT THIS TIME. SOME ALLIES DO NOT WISH TO GIVE FURTHER DATA TO THE EAST BEYOND WHAT THE ALLIES HAVE ALREADY FURNISHED UNLESS THE EAST RECIPROCATES. SOME CONSIDER IT EMBARRASSING TO REVEAL TO THE EAST THAT NATO'S ESTIMATES OF ITS OWN FORCE STRENGTH HAVE CHANGED. SOME CONSIDER IT UNSOUND TO ADVANCE TO THE EAST FIGURES WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO FURTHER CHANGE.

9. IN TRILATERAL DISCUSSION WITH US ON SEPTEMBER 18, BOTH FRG AND UK REPS INDICATED THEIR AUTHORITIES NOW WERE SOMEWHAT RELUCTANT TO AGREE TO DIVULGE NEW TOTALS TO EAST FOR THE LAST OF THESE REASONS. THE UK REP SAID LONDON AUTHORITIES WERE CONCERNED LESS ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF FURTHER CHANGES IN ALLIED ESTIMATES OF PACT FORCES THAN ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY THAT THE NEW ALLIED TOTAL FOR NATO FORCES MIGHT BE LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL PRESENT TOTAL. WE TOLD UK REP (ROSE) WE HAD SEEN NOTHING TO SUBSTANTIATE THIS CONCERN AND ASKED HIM WHAT INFORMATION LONDON HAD WHICH HAD GIVEN RISE TO THIS CONCERN BY LONDON. HE WAS UNAWARE OF ANY SPECIFICS. FOR WASHING-

TON, LONDON AND USNATO: WE ARE INCLINED TO BELIEVE THAT
LONDON'S ATTITUDE IS A GENERALIZED ONE, NOT BASED ON
SPECIFIC INFORMATION, BUT WOULD WELCOME ANY BACKGROUND
THAT CAN BE FURNISHED ON POSSIBLE CHANGES IN NATO STRENGTH.

10. WE BELIEVE THERE IS A CLEAR CASE FOR TRANSMITTING
THE NEW FIGURES TO THE EAST:

A. THE MAIN ALLIED NEGOTIATING OBJECTIVE IS TO BRING
THE SOVIETS TO REDUCE MORE OF THEIR NUMERICALLY LARGER
GROUND FORCES THAN THE ALLIES REDUCE. ALLIED DATA ON
GROUND FORCE DISPARITY IS THE PRIMARY VEHICLE FOR MAKING
THE ALLIED CASE. THE EAST HAS ALREADY CHALLENGED THE
ACCURACY OF THE ALLIED FIGURES. FOR EFFECTIVE US, THIS
DATA MUST BE AUTHORITATIVE AND AS ACCURATE AS POSSIBLE.

B. LEAVING ASIDE THE QUESTION OF WHAT FIGURES SHOULD
APPEAR IN AN MBFR PHASE II AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST SO
THAT SUCH AN AGREEMENT CAN BE BOTH BINDING AND CLEARLY
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00249 02 OF 02 230734Z

UNDERSTANDABLE, IT WILL BE NECESSARY AT SOME POINT IN
THE NEGOTIATIONS TO REACH AT LEAST INFORMAL UNDERSTANDING
WITH THE SOVIETS ON OVERALL MANPOWER TOTALS, THE NUMBER
OF REDUCTIONS TO BE TAKEN, AND THE RESIDUAL LEVEL
TO BE MAINTAINED BY SIGNATORIES OF AN AGREEMENT.

C. IN PRACTICE, THERE ARE TWO WAYS OF REACHING AGREED
FIGURES, EITHER THROUGH A PROCESS OF EXCHANGING DATA AND
DISCUSSION OF DISCREPANCIES OF THAT DATA, LEADING TO
AGREED FIGURES, OR FOR THE SOVIETS EITHER IMPLICITLY
OR EXPLICITLY, TO ACCEPT ALLIED TOTALS AS THE BASIS FOR
COMPUTATION.

D. WE INTEND TO MAKE THIS POINT TO THE SOVIETS
VIGOROUSLY IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN PRESSURE ON THEM TO
ENGAGE IN DATA EXCHANGE WITH THE WEST. IN ORDER TO
EFFECTIVELY PRESS THE EAST TO DECIDE BETWEEN EXCHANGE
OF DATA LEADING TO AGREED DATA OR ACCEPTANCE OF WESTERN
FIGURES, THE WESTERN FIGURES WHICH THE ALLIES INVITE THE
EAST TO ACCEPT MUST BE AS ACCURATE AS POSSIBLE.

E. IT SHOULD BE NO REAL EMBARRASSMENT TO THE ALLIES
TO TELL THE EAST, AS SUGGESTED IN PARAGRAPH 12 OF THE AD
HOC GROUP REPORT OF JULY 23, THAT IN ORDER TO KEEP THEM
ACCURATE ALLIED FIGURES ARE SUBJECT TO CONTINUAL REVIEW
AND THAT THIS REVIEW HAS INDICATED MINOR CHANGES IN
TOTALS ALREADY ADVANCED. SUCH STATEMENTS CAN IN FACT
BE USED TO ENHANCE THE AUTHORITATIVENESS OF WESTERN DATA.

F. EXCHANGE OF DATA IS THE ONE CLEAR AREA IN THE
MBFR NEGOTIATIONS THUS FAR IN WHICH THE SOVIETS
ARE ON THE DEFENSIVE AND HAVE ADMITTED THIS TO US.
WE SHOULD KEEP UP THE PRESSURE IN THIS AREA FOR PSYCHO-
LOGICAL REASONS.

10. FURTHER REASONS FOR DIVULGING THE CHANGE IN ALLIED ESTIMATES ARE THAT THESE CHANGES ARE NOW ABOUT 3 MONTHS OLD. IT IS PROBABLE THAT KNOWLEDGE OF THEM HAS ALREADY REACHED THE EAST OR WILL DO SO, LEADING TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE ALLIES ARE NOT SINCERE IN THEIR NEGOTIATING APPROACH. THE FORCE TOTALS OF INDIVIDUAL NATO ALLIES ARE PUBLISHED IN VARIOUS FORMS AND IT SHOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT TO COMPILE A FAIRLY ACCURATE TOTAL FROM PUBLISHED SOURCES. THE NEW FIGURES MAY LEAK INTO THE WESTERN PRESS, CREATING EMBARRASSMENT. THE JUST PUBLISHED ISS

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 MBFR V 00249 02 OF 02 230734Z

SURVEY SHOWS THE NATO GROUND FORCE TOTAL AS 788,000. THIS INDICATION OF AN INCREASE OVER THE OFFICIAL ALLIED 777,000 FIGURE IS SURE TO GIVE RISE TO EASTERN QUESTIONS IN VIENNA. EVEN IF NEITHER DEVELOPMENT OCCURS, SOONER OR LATER, THE ALLIES WILL HAVE TO DIVULGE CHANGES IN DATA TO THE EAST, LEAVING THEMSELVES OPEN TO CHARGES OF BAD FAITH.

11. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON AGENCIES ARE REQUESTED TO AUTHORIZE USNATO TO TAKE A FORCEFUL POSITION IN FAVOR OF DIVULGING THE NEW TOTALS TO THE EAST, DRAWING ON THE ARGUMENTS ABOVE, WITH A VIEW TO OBTAINING POSITIVE NAC GUIDANCE BY OCTOBER 7. THIS SCHEDULE ALSO MEANS THAT NAC GUIDANCE ON REVISING THE DEFINITION OF GROUND FORCES SHOULD BE AVAILABLE BY THAT TIME. RESOR

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: GROUND FORCES, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 23 SEP 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974MBFRV00249
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740266-0862
From: MBFR VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740923/aaaaasxu.tel
Line Count: 308
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 21 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <21 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: NATO GUIDANCE TO AD HOC GROUP - RELEASE TO EAST OF REVISED NATO AND PACT GROUND FORCE TOTALS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE DOD
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005